

Kapur-Peierls, Wigner-Eisenbud And All That

by

Ron Johnson

December 1987¹

¹Latexed by Amy Bartlett, June 8, 2005

1 Introduction

These methods are used in the expression of the solution of

$$(E - H)\phi = 0 \quad (1)$$

in a finite volume in terms of a set of states satisfying the same equation within the volume and satisfying a set of boundary conditions at the edge of the volume. We study s-wave scattering in a potential $V(r)$ as an example. The scattering wavefunction $\phi(r)$ ($\equiv r\Psi(r)$) satisfies

$$(E - H)\phi = 0, \quad \text{for all } r \quad (2)$$

$$\phi = e^{-ikr} - Se^{ikr}, \quad r > r_0. \quad (3)$$

S is the scattering matrix required.

The radius r_0 is such that

$$H \rightarrow \frac{-\hbar^2}{2\mu} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} \text{ for } r > r_0. \quad (4)$$

Also,

$$E = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2\mu}. \quad (5)$$

A suitable complete set is defined by

$$(\tilde{\epsilon}_i - H)\tilde{\phi}_i = 0, r < r_0, \quad (6)$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\phi}_i} \frac{d\tilde{\phi}_i}{dr} \right)_{r=r_0} = b, \tilde{\phi}_i(0) = 0 \quad (7)$$

where b may be complex. Assuming

$$H = \frac{-\hbar^2}{2\mu} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + V(r), \quad (8)$$

with $V(r)$ real for simplicity, we easily show that (note no complex conjugate)

$$\int_0^{r_0} dr \tilde{\phi}_i(r) \tilde{\phi}_j(r) = 0, i \neq j \quad (9)$$

$$= 1, i = j \text{ (normalisation)}. \quad (10)$$

The idea is to use the expansion

$$\phi(r) = \sum_i a_i \tilde{\phi}_i(r), r \leq r_0. \quad (11)$$

If the $\tilde{\phi}_i$'s are complete we can assume that at $r = r_0$

$$\phi(r_0) = \sum_i a_i \tilde{\phi}_i(r_0) \quad (12)$$

and by matching using equation (3) we can obtain

$$e^{-ikr_0} - Se^{ikr_0} = \sum_i a_i \tilde{\phi}_i(r_0). \quad (13)$$

But note that we can not match derivatives at $r = r_0$ because equation (11) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d\phi}{dr} \right)_{r=r_0} &= \sum_i a_i \left(\frac{d\tilde{\phi}_i(r)}{dr} \right)_{r=r_0} = \sum_i a_i b\tilde{\phi}_i(r_0) \\ &= b\phi(r_0) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

which is certainly not generally true.

The trick is to note that the coefficients a_i are determined entirely by ϕ and its derivative at $r = r_0$:

From equations (9) and (11)

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= \int_0^{r_0} dr \tilde{\phi}_i \phi \\ &= \int_0^{r_0} dr \frac{(H\tilde{\phi}_i)\phi - \tilde{\phi}_i(H\phi)}{\tilde{\epsilon}_i - E} \\ &= \frac{-\hbar^2}{2\mu} \int_0^{r_0} dr \frac{\left[\frac{d}{dr} (\tilde{\phi}'_i \phi - \tilde{\phi} - i\phi') \right]}{\tilde{\epsilon}_i - E} \\ &= \frac{-\hbar^2}{2\mu} \frac{1}{\tilde{\epsilon}_i - E} \left[\frac{\tilde{\phi}'_i}{\tilde{\phi}_i} \phi - \phi' \right]_{r=r_0} \tilde{\phi}_i(r_0) \\ &= \frac{-\hbar^2}{2\mu} \frac{1}{\tilde{\epsilon}_i - E} \tilde{\phi}_i(r_0) [b\phi - \phi']_{r=r_0} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

so that

$$a_i = \frac{-\hbar^2}{2\mu} \frac{1}{\tilde{\epsilon}_i - E} \tilde{\phi}_i(r_0) [b\phi - \phi']_{r=r_0} \quad (16)$$

Using equation (3) this can be written

$$a_i = \frac{-\hbar^2}{2\mu} \frac{1}{\tilde{\epsilon}_i - E} \tilde{\phi}_i(r_0) [e^{-ikr_0}(b + ik) - Se^{ikr_0}(b - ik)]. \quad (17)$$

Substituting into equation (13) gives

$$e^{-ikr_0} - Se^{ikr_0} = \sum_i \frac{-\hbar^2}{2\mu} \frac{1}{\tilde{\epsilon}_i - E} \left(\tilde{\phi}_i(r_0) \right)^2 [e^{-ikr_0}(b + ik) - Se^{ikr_0}(b - ik)] \quad (18)$$

and solving for S

$$S = e^{-2ikr_0} \frac{[1 + R(E)(b + ik)]}{[1 + R(E)(b - ik)]} \quad (19)$$

where

$$R(E) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \sum_i \frac{(\tilde{\phi}_i(r_0))^2}{\tilde{\epsilon}_i - E}. \quad (20)$$

2 The Choice of b

2.1 Choose b to be Real: “R-matrix Theory”

For this choice, the $\tilde{\phi}$ ’s can be chosen to be real the $\tilde{\epsilon}_i$ ’s will be real automatically if H is Hermitian. This has two advantages that $R(E)$ is real and S of equation (19) is obviously unitary, i.e. $|S|^2 = 1$.

2.2 Choose $b = ik$: “Kapur-Peierls Theory”

The obvious advantage of this choice is that it simplifies the denominator of equation (19) so that

$$S = e^{-2ikr_0} [1 + 2ikR_{KP}(E)]. \quad (21)$$

Now unitarity is not at all obvious, but equation (21) is deceptively simple. Note that the E dependence is now not just in the energy denominator of $R_{KP}(E)$, but also through the $\tilde{\phi}$ ’s themselves because the boundary conditions (equation (7)) now depends on E .

The proof of unitarity in this case follows from the following identity, which is easily proved from equations (6) and (7)

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\epsilon}_i - \tilde{\epsilon}_j^*) \int_0^{r_0} dr \tilde{\phi}_j^*(r) \tilde{\phi}_i(r) &= \frac{-\hbar^2}{2\mu} \int_0^{r_0} dr \frac{d}{dr} \left(\tilde{\phi}_j^* \frac{d\tilde{\phi}_i}{dr} - \left(\frac{d\tilde{\phi}_j^*}{dr} \right) \tilde{\phi}_i \right) \\ &= \frac{-\hbar^2}{2\mu} 2ik \tilde{\phi}_j^*(r_0) \tilde{\phi}_i(r_0) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

ie:

$$\int_0^{r_0} dr \tilde{\phi}_j^* \tilde{\phi}_i = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} 2ik \frac{\tilde{\phi}_j^*(r_0) \tilde{\phi}_i(r_0)}{\tilde{\epsilon}_j^* - \tilde{\epsilon}_i} \quad (23)$$

and hence

$$|R_{KP}|^2 = \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \right)^2 \sum_{i,j} \frac{\tilde{\phi}_i(r_0) \tilde{\phi}_i(r_0) \tilde{\phi}_j^*(r_0) \tilde{\phi}_j^*(r_0)}{(\tilde{\epsilon}_i - E)(\tilde{\epsilon}_i^* - E)} \quad (24)$$

$$= \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \right)^2 \sum_{i,j} \tilde{\phi}_i \tilde{\phi}_i \tilde{\phi}_j^* \tilde{\phi}_j^* \left[\frac{1}{(\epsilon_j^* - \epsilon_i)} \frac{1}{(\epsilon_i - E)} - \frac{1}{(\epsilon_j^* - \epsilon_i)} \frac{1}{(\epsilon_j^* - E)} \right] \quad (25)$$

$$= \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \right)^2 \sum_{i,j} \left[\tilde{\phi}_i(r_0) \tilde{\phi}_j^*(r_0) \left(\frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{2ik} \int_0^{r_0} dr \tilde{\phi}_j^*(r) \phi_i(r) \right) \right]$$

$$\times \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_i - E} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_j^* - E} \right) \Bigg] \quad (26)$$

$$= \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \frac{1}{2ik} \left(\sum_i \tilde{\phi}_i(r_0) \int_0^{r_0} dr \delta(r - r_0) \tilde{\phi}_i(r) \frac{1}{\epsilon_i - E} - \sum_j \tilde{\phi}_j^*(r_0) \int_0^{r_0} dr \delta(r - r_0) \tilde{\phi}_j^*(r) \frac{1}{\epsilon_j^* - E} \right) \quad (27)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2ik} \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \sum_i \frac{\tilde{\phi}_i(r_0) \tilde{\phi}_i(r_0)}{\epsilon_i - E} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \sum_j \frac{\tilde{\phi}_j^*(r_0) \tilde{\phi}_j^*(r_0)}{\epsilon_j^* - E} \right) \quad (28)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2ik} (R_{KP} - R_{KP}^*) \quad (29)$$

Equation (27) follows from the “completeness relationship”,

$$\sum_i \tilde{\phi}_i(r) \tilde{\phi}_i(r_0) = \delta(r - r_0). \quad (30)$$

We have thus proven that

$$(R_{KP}(E) - R_{KP}^*) = 2ik |R_{KP}|^2, \quad (31)$$

and hence

$$|S(E)|^2 = (1 + 2ik R_{KP}) (1 - 2ik R_{KP}^*), \quad (32)$$

$$= 1 + 2ik (R_{KP} - R_{KP}^*) + 4k^2 |R_{KP}|^2, \quad (33)$$

$$= 1. \quad (34)$$

3 Connection with Green's functions

Suppose the Green function, $G(r, r')$, satisfies

$$(E - H)G(r', r) = \delta(r - r'). \quad (35)$$

Using this function we can write

$$\phi(r) = \int_0^{r_0} dr' \delta(r - r') \phi(r'), r \leq r_0 \quad (36)$$

$$= \int_0^{r_0} dr' ((E - H)G(r', r)) \phi(r') \quad (37)$$

$$= \int_0^{r_0} dr' ((H\phi(r'))G(r', r) - (HG(r', r))\phi(r')) + \int_0^{r_0} dr' G(r', r) ((E - H)\phi(r')) \quad (38)$$

$$= \frac{-\hbar^2}{2\mu} \left[\frac{d\phi(r')}{dr'} G(r', r) - \frac{dG(r', r)}{dr'} \phi(r') \right]_{r'=r_0} + \int_0^{r_0} dr' G(r', r) (E - H)\phi(r') \quad (39)$$

Where we have assumed

$$\underline{G(0, r) = 0 = \phi(0)} \quad (40)$$

If in addition ϕ satisfies

$$(E - H)\phi = 0 \quad (41)$$

then we have

$$\phi(r) = \frac{-\hbar^2}{2\mu} \left[\phi'(r_0)G(r_0, r) - \frac{dG(r_0, r)}{dr_0} \phi(r_0) \right]_{r < r_0} \quad (42)$$

Substituting $r = r_0$ into equation (42) gives

$$1 = \frac{-\hbar^2}{2\mu} \left[\frac{\phi'(r_0)}{\phi(r_0)} G(r_0, r_0) - \left(\frac{dG(r, r_0)}{dr} \right)_{r=r_0} \right]. \quad (43)$$

In terms of the $\tilde{\phi}$ we can write

$$G(r', r) = \sum_i \frac{\tilde{\phi}_i(r') \tilde{\phi}_i(r)}{(E - \epsilon_i)} \text{ where } r, r' < r_0 \quad (44)$$

Using the “completeness relation” it is easy to show that this G satisfies equation (35). So we have

$$G(r_0, r_0) = \sum_i \frac{(\tilde{\phi}_i(r_0))^2}{E - \epsilon_i} = \frac{-2\mu}{\hbar^2} R \quad (45)$$

$$\left(\frac{dG(r, r_0)}{dr} \right)_{r=r_0} = bG = \frac{-2\mu}{\hbar^2} bR \quad (46)$$

and so (43) gives

$$1 = \frac{-\hbar^2}{2\mu} \left[\frac{\phi'(r_0)}{\phi(r_0)} - b \right] \left(\frac{-2\mu}{\hbar^2} R \right) \quad (47)$$

ie:

$$\underline{\frac{\phi'(r_0)}{\phi(r_0)} - b = \frac{1}{R}} \quad (48)$$

If

$$\phi(r_0) = e^{-ikr_0} - S e^{ikr_0} \quad (49)$$

$$\phi' = ik(-e^{ikr_0} - S e^{ikr_0}) \quad (50)$$

$$\frac{\phi'}{\phi} = ik \left(\frac{-e^{ikr_0} - S e^{ikr_0}}{e^{-ikr_0} - S e^{ikr_0}} \right) \quad (51)$$

$$S = e^{-2ikr_0} \left(\frac{\phi'/\phi + ik}{\phi'/\phi - ik} \right) \quad (52)$$

so that equation (48) gives

$$S = e^{-2ikr_0} \left(\frac{b + \frac{1}{R} + ik}{b + \frac{1}{R} - ik} \right) \quad (53)$$

$$= e^{-2ikr_0} \left(\frac{1 + R(b + ik)}{1 + R(b - ik)} \right) \quad (54)$$

which is in agreement with equation 19.